arXiv:physics/0609216v3 [physics.gen-ph] 27 Feb 2010

A class of traveling-envelope solutions of free Schrödinger equation generated by Lorentz transformation

Agung Budiyono
Institute for the Physical and Chemical Research, RIKEN,
2-1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

(Dated: February 27, 2010)

We develop a class of traveling-envelope solutions of Schrödinger equation for a free particle whose amplitude is moving with constant group velocity while keeping its shape undistorted. We show that solution with arbitrary finite group velocity is obtained by Lorentz boosting the solution with vanishing group velocity, if the quantum average energy E and momentum p are related to the rest-mass m of the particle by Einstein formula $E^2/c^2 - p^2 = m^2c^2$. The wave function is spatially localized with finite-size support which is decreasing as the rest-mass and/or group velocity are increased. For a particle with vanishing rest-mass yet finite momentum, we show that the group and phase velocities are equal to the velocity of light and the wavelength is given by Einstein another formula $\lambda_P = h/p$.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge; 03.30.+p; 03.65.Pm

Let us consider the quantum dynamics of a single free particle of mass μ in one dimensional space denoted by x. The complex-valued wave function at time t, $\psi(x;t)$, is assumed to satisfy the following free Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(x;t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\partial_x^2\psi(x;t). \tag{1}$$

It is evident that the above Schrödinger equation is not Lorentz invariant, thus is usually not regarded as the proper equation describing a relativistic free particle [1]. Despite of this fact, below we shall show that it possesses a class of traveling-envelope solutions which is generated by Lorentz transformation parameterized by μ. We shall then argue that it quantum mechanically represents a free relativistically moving particle.

First, given a pair of real-valued parameters (k, v), let us consider the following class of wave functions:

$$\psi_v(x;t) = A_v \cos\big[\gamma k(x - vt)\big] \exp\Big[\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mu v x - \gamma E_0 t)\Big], \tag{2}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(v)$ is some dimension-less factor assumed to depend on v. One can then check by direct substitution that the above wave function satisfies the free Schrödinger equation of Eq. (1) if E_0 is related to k and v as follows:

$$E_0 = \frac{\gamma\hbar^2k^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mu}{2\gamma}v^2 = \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mv^2, \tag{3}$$

where we have defined m as $m = \mu/\gamma$, whose physical meaning will be clarified later. Notice that the wave function of Eq. (2) is a traveling wave function with group velocity $v_g = v$. To be precise, since the phase velocity $v_p = \gamma E_0/(\mu v) = E_0/(mv)$ is in general not equal to the group velocity, below we refer to it as traveling-envelope wave function. We shall discuss the case when $v_p = v_g = v$ at the end of the paper. Notice also that in general it is not the eigenfunction of Hamiltonian operator, $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/(2\mu)$, where $\hat{p} \equiv -i\hbar\partial_x$ is the momentum operator, except when $v = 0$. A_v is a normalization constant. Hence, to be normalizable with non-vanishing A_v, the wave function must possess only a finite-length spatial support. We shall first focus on the dynamical property of the traveling-envelope wave function and discuss the issue of its normalization afterward.

To proceed, let us assume a functional form of $\gamma = \gamma(v)$ so that for vanishing group velocity, $v = 0$, it reduces to unity: $\gamma(0) = 1$. Then, for the case of $v = 0$, the wave function of Eq. (2) becomes stationary

$$\psi_0(x;t) = A_0 \cos(kx) \exp(-iE_0t/\hbar). \tag{4}$$

The above wave function satisfies the free Schrödinger equation when E_0 is given by $E_0 = \hbar^2k^2/(2m)$. One can then easily verify that the traveling-envelope wave function of arbitrary finite group velocity v of Eq. (2) can be obtained back from the resting (stationary) wave function of Eq. (4), up to normalization constant, by the following coordinate transformation:

$$x \mapsto \gamma(x - vt), \quad t \mapsto \gamma\Big(t - \frac{mv}{E_0}x\Big). \tag{5}$$

If one further chooses the following form of $\gamma = \gamma(v)$ and E_0:

$$\gamma(v) = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}, \ \ E_0 = mc^2, \tag{6}$$

where c is the velocity of light, then one obtains the Lorentz transformation [2]

$$x \mapsto \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}, \quad t \mapsto \frac{t - vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \tag{7}$$

This is the main formal result of the present paper. Below we shall attempt a physical interpretation in term of relativistic dynamics of a free moving particle. Let us remark before proceeding that for sufficiently small group velocity $|v| \ll c$, Eq. (2) reduces into Eq. (21) of Ref.

[3], up to small correction of the order $\sim o(v^2/c^2)$. Moreover combined with Eq. (3), the choice of E_0 in Eq. (6) restricts k to depend on v and m, $k = k(m, v)$. In particular, given μ, one can choose a pair of $\{m, v\}$ so that $\mu = \gamma(v)m$. The chosen value of $\{m, v\}$ then determines k through Eqs. (3) and (6).

First, one can straightforwardly show that the quantum average momentum and energy over the traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2) are given by

$$\langle\hat{p}\rangle \equiv \int dx\psi^*(x;t)\hat{p}\psi(x;t) = \gamma mv \equiv p,$$
$$\langle\hat{H}\rangle \equiv \int dx\psi^*(x;t)\hat{H}\psi(x;t) = \gamma E_0 = \gamma mc^2 \equiv E, \quad (8)$$

where we have used $E_0 = mc^2$ in the lower equation. Hence, multiplying both sides of Eq. (3) with γ, one obtains

$$E = \gamma E_0 = \frac{\hbar^2(\gamma k)^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mu}{2}v^2 = \gamma\Big(\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mv^2\Big), \quad (9)$$

which can be interpreted as describing relation among different kind of quantum energies. In particular, the second term on the right hand side $K \equiv \mu v^2/2 = \gamma mv^2/2$ should be interpreted as the contribution from the relativistic kinetic energy of a particle of mass $\mu = \gamma m$ moving with velocity v. The physical meaning of the first term will be clarified as we proceed. The total quantum energy E is thus always positive definite.

One can then use the quantum average energy $E = \gamma mc^2$ and momentum $p = \gamma mv$ given in Eq. (8) to define the relativistic energy-momentum four vector (two vector, in our case of one space dimension). In particular, from Eq. (6) and Eq. (8), one directly obtains the following relation:

$$\frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2 = m^2c^2. \quad (10)$$

This is the well-known and important energy-momentum relation developed in the theory of special relativity [2, 4], now it is shown to be valid for quantum average energy-momentum. Thus, the above relation can be interpreted as the quantum version of the energy-momentum relation of special relativity. For the case of vanishing group velocity, $v = 0$ so that $p = 0$, one obtains the rest-energy $E = mc^2 = E_0$. $m = \mu/\gamma$ thus must be interpreted as rest-mass.

On the other hand, Eqs. (3) and (6) give

$$\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = mc^2. \quad (11)$$

The above result is of course missing in the corresponding classical theory of special relativistic dynamics, thus is quantum mechanically inherent. In particular, for vanishing group velocity, $v = 0$, one has

$$\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m} = mc^2 = E_0. \quad (12)$$

Since the right hand side of Eq. (12) is rest-energy of the theory of special relativity, then it is intuitive to call the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (9), $I \equiv \gamma\hbar^2k^2/(2m)$, as the internal energy. Equation (12) thus shows us that the relativistic rest-energy should quantum mechanically be interpreted as the internal energy when the group velocity is vanishing. In particular, it relates the particle-like property of rest-mass m with the wave-like property of wave number k of the amplitude when $v = 0$ through the constant $\hbar/c$, a combination between the two constants of quantum mechanics and special relativity. Equation (12) has been conjectured by the author in the previous work [5]. Hence, starting from non-relativistic quantum theory, we can also predict the existence of rest-energy developed in the theory of special relativity.

In contrast to the above results, in the prevailing approach to quantization, one usually starts from a classical relation between energy and momentum and infers the corresponding quantum mechanical relation by the standard quantization rule: namely replacing the energy and momentum in the classical relation with the corresponding differential operators: $E \mapsto \hat{E} = i\hbar\partial_t$ and $p \mapsto \hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$, respectively [6]. In this paragraph, E and p refer to classical energy and momentum, respectively. Hence, for example, the Lorentz invariant Klein-Gordon equation is obtained by applying the above quantization rule to the relativistic energy-momentum relation of Eq. (10) [1]. Moreover, the quantization of the non-relativistic energy-momentum relation, $E = p^2/(2m)$, obtained from Eq. (10) by neglecting the rest-energy, mc^2, and other small correction of the order $o(v^4/c^4)$ where v is the velocity of the particle, gives the free Schödinger equation of Eq. (1) [6].

In the above standard scheme of quantization of a classical system, the plane wave plays a very crucial role to represent a free particle. This is encouraged by the fact that plane wave is the eigenfunction of momentum and energy operators, and inserting it into the Schrödinger equation and the Klein-Gordon equation will reproduce the corresponding classical relation between energy-momentum. In contrast to this, we argue in this paper that a free particle should be represented by a traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2). In particular, using the traveling-envelope wave function in the Schrödinger equation, one gets the relativistic energy-momentum relation. Moreover, the rest-energy is quantum mechanically interpreted as internal energy for vanishing group velocity shown in Eq. (12).

Next, the relation of Eq. (11) shows that the wave number of the amplitude $k_v \equiv \gamma k$ depends on the group velocity and the rest-mass through

$$k_v = \frac{mc}{\hbar}\gamma(v)\sqrt{2 - v^2/c^2} = \frac{\gamma(v)}{\lambda_C}\sqrt{2 - v^2/c^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $\lambda_C = \hbar/(mc)$ is the Compton wavelength. For vanishing group velocity $v = 0$ one thus has $k_0 = \sqrt{2}\lambda_C^{-1}$. On the other hand, for the maximum possible group velocity

$v \to c$, one obtains

$$k_v \to k_c = p/\hbar, \tag{14}$$

where we have used the upper equation of Eq. (8) for $v = c$. Since in this case $\gamma(v \to c) \to \infty$, to have a finite $\mu = \gamma m$ thus p and also k_c, then m and k must be vanishing, $m \to 0$ and $k \to 0$. Moreover, in this limiting case of $v \to c$, the internal energy I and the kinetic energy K are both approaching half of the total energy

$$I = K \to E/2 = pc/2, \tag{15}$$

where we have again used Eq. (8) and Eq. (14).

Now let us discuss the problem of normalization of the traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2). In order to make the traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2) normalizable, $\int dx|\psi_v(x;t)|^2 = 1$, one must introduce a finite length of spatial support. Namely one assumes that the wave function takes non-vanishing value only within a bounded set of real numbers. It is natural to assume that the set is connected, hence the support should be a closed and bounded interval of real number. On the other hand, in Ref. [3], we have argued that the wave function can self-generate its own boundary through the idea of self-trapping [7]. First, for $v = 0$, one chooses a class of wave functions whose amplitude is given by self-consistently solving the following pair of equations:

$$|\psi(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-U(x)/T}, \ \ U(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial_x^2|\psi|}{|\psi|}, \tag{16}$$

where Z is a normalization constant and $T \geq 0$ is real-valued parameter. In the so-called pilot-wave [8] and Madelung fluid [9] interpretations of Schrödinger equation, the above defined $U(x)$ is called as quantum potential. Then the amplitude of the wave function in Eq. (2) for $v = 0$ thus $\gamma = 1$ can be shown as the solution of Eq. (16) in the limit of $T \to 0$ [3]. In this limit, $U(x)$ is constant inside a finite length of interval given by

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = [-L_0, L_0] \ \text{ where } \ L_0 = \pi/(2k), \tag{17}$$

and is infinite at the boundary points $x = \pm L_0$. Accordingly, the envelope of the wave function takes non-vanishing value only inside $\mathcal{M}_0$, and vanishing at the lower and upper boundary points of $\mathcal{M}_0$. In this sense, we said that the wave function is self-trapped by its own self-generated $U(x)$ [3].

Then, as shown in Eq. (2), since for the case of non-vanishing value of group velocity v the wave number of the envelope changes as $k \mapsto k_v = \gamma k$, it is natural to assume that the spatial support for the case of group velocity v at time t is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_v = [vt - L_v, vt + L_v] \ \text{ where } \ L_v = \pi/(2\gamma k). \tag{18}$$

The envelope of the wave function is still vanishing at the boundary points of its support, which is now moving at the velocity v. Hence the length of the support is

$$\Delta_v \equiv 2L_v = \frac{\pi}{\gamma(v)k(m,v)} = \frac{\pi\hbar}{mc}\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}{\sqrt{2-v^2/c^2}}, \tag{19}$$

where we have used Eq. (13). In general, the length of the support is thus determined by m and v. In particular, it is decreasing as we increase m and/or v. Accordingly, the normalization constant also depends on m and v, and is given by $A_v = 2\gamma k/\pi$.

Let us express the length of the support in term of energy-momentum. Using Eq. (8) in Eq. (19) one obtains

$$\Delta_v = \frac{\pi\hbar c}{E}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2-v^2/c^2}} = \frac{\pi\hbar v}{pc}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2-v^2/c^2}}. \tag{20}$$

Next, let us again discuss two limiting cases. First, for vanishing group velocity $v = 0$ one has $\Delta_0 = (\pi/\sqrt{2})\lambda_C$. On the other hand, for the case of $v \to c$, one gets

$$\Delta_c \to \frac{h}{2p}, \tag{21}$$

where $h = 2\pi\hbar$ is the Planck constant. Notice that the wavelength λ_P of the amplitude of the traveling-envelope wave function is given as twice of its length of support. In the limit $v \to c$ one thus obtains

$$p \to \frac{h}{2\Delta_c} = \frac{h}{\lambda_P}, \tag{22}$$

which is just Einstein's quantization formula for photon [10, 11]. The above result can of course be obtained directly from Eq. (14) by noticing $\lambda_P = 2\pi/k_c$.

Finally, since in the limit $v \to c$, one has $k_v = \gamma k \to p/\hbar$ and $E = \gamma E_0 \to pc$, the traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2) for particle with vanishing rest-mass yet finite momentum p is approaching

$$\psi_{(v\to c)}(x;t) \to \frac{2p}{\pi\hbar}\cos\Big[\frac{p}{\hbar}(x-ct)\Big]\exp\Big[\frac{ip}{\hbar}(x-ct)\Big], \tag{23}$$

where the support is given by $x \in \mathcal{M}_c = [ct - L_c, ct + L_c]$ with $L_c \to \pi\hbar/(2p)$. In this case, one has $\mu = p/c$. Notice that the phase velocity and group velocity of the above wave function are both equal to c. Conversely, assuming that the phase velocity is equal to the group velocity, $v_p = v_g = v$, one gets $v = E_0/(mv)$. Recalling the fact that $E_0 = mc^2$, one has $v_p = v_g = \pm c$. Moreover, the wave function of particle with vanishing rest-mass of Eq. (23) now satisfies the Lorentz invariant Klein-Gordon equation without mass term (ordinary wave equation)

$$\Box\psi = 0, \tag{24}$$

where $\Box = -(1/c^2)\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2$ is D'Alembertian operator.

To conclude, we have thus shown that even though the Schrödinger equation of Eq. (1) is not invariant under Lorentz transformation, there is a class of solutions given in Eq. (2) which is generated by Lorentz transformation. The latter means that the solution for arbitrary finite group velocity can be obtained by Lorentz boosting the solution of vanishing group velocity. This leads to the relation between the quantum average energy and momentum of Eq. (10), which takes exactly the same form with the one developed in the theory of special relativity. It is therefore reasonable to regard the traveling-envelope wave function of Eq. (2) as describing a free particle moving relativistically with the velocity given by the group velocity of the wave function, and whose energy and momentum are given by the quantum average energy and momentum respectively.

Furthermore, starting from Schrödinger equation, we also predict the existence of inherently quantum mechanical internal energy, which, for the case of vanishing group velocity, reduces into the rest-energy developed in the theory of special relativity. This energy is further argued to determine the length of the spatial support of the traveling-envelope wave function when it is at rest. In general, the length of the support of the traveling wave function of Eq. (2) is decreasing as we increase the rest-mass m and/or the velocity v of the particle. Since the spatial support provides the region where the quantum effect takes place, say through superposition, then one can say that the smaller the size of the spatial support, the more classical and particle-like the wave function is. These two facts are in accord with our intuitive definition of classical regime which is the regime of large energy [5]. Combined together, we have thus suggested that the Schödinger equation contains, as a classical limit, the theory that describes the relativistic motion of a free particle.

Moreover, in the limit of vanishing rest-mass m yet with finite momentum p, we show that the group and phase velocities of the wave function are equal to the velocity of light, c. The relativistic mass is then given by $\mu = p/c$ and the wave length λ_P of the corresponding traveling-envelope wave function is related to the momentum by the Einstein quantization formula for a photon $\lambda_P = p/h$. In this case, the traveling-envelope wave function satisfies the Lorentz invariant Klein-Gordon equation with vanishing mass term.

At formal level, a point should be mentioned. As long as Eq. (3) is valid, Eq. (2) will satisfy the free Schrödinger equation for arbitrary choice of functional form of γ and E_0, even if they are not given by Eq. (6). Hence, the coordinate transformation of Eq. (5) is in general not equal to the Lorentz transformation of Eq. (7). Accordingly, the energy-momentum relation of Eq. (10) is valid only for the specific choice of $\gamma = \gamma(v)$ and E_0 as given in Eq. (6) [12]. One should also note that the same choice of Eq. (6) gives the Einstein quantization formula for a particle with vanishing rest mass of Eq. (22).

Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the FPR program in RIKEN.

---

[1] Lewis H. Ryder, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).

[2] D. Bohm, *The Special Theory of Relativity* (Routledge, London, 2006).

[3] Agung Budiyono, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 042110 (2009).

[4] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik **332**, 891 (1905).

[5] Agung Budiyono, to appear in Foundation of Physics (2010).

[6] C. J. Isham, *Lectures On Quantum Theory: Mathematical and Structural Foundation* (Imperial College Press, London, 1995).

[7] Agung Budiyono and Ken Umeno, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 042104 (2009).

[8] D. Bohm and B. J. Hiley, *The Undivided Universe: An ontological interpretation of quantum theory* (Routledge, London,1993).

[9] E. Madelung, Zeits. F. Phys. **40**, 322 (1927).

[10] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik **17**, 132 (1905).

[11] A. Einstein, Physicalische Zeitschrift **10**, 817 (1909).

[12] K. Svozil, Europhys. Lett. **2** (2), 83 (1986), and references therein.